On the entropic convergence of Gibbs samplers

arXiv 2009.11817

Cambyse Rouzé, Technische Universität München

Joint work with Ángela Capel (TUM) and Daniel Stilck França (University of Copenhagen)

November 2020, BIID

Quantum lattice systems in and out of equilibrium

?

Equilibrium properties

$$H_\Lambda := \sum_{j\in\Lambda} \Phi(j) \qquad \sigma_A = \frac{e^{-\beta\, H_A}}{\mathrm{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta H_A}\right)}$$

Ex: Ising $\qquad \Phi(j) \in \{X, Z, ZZ\}$



Out-of-equilibrium properties

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}_\Lambda} \underset{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_\Lambda$$

Davies generator (weak coupling to a bath)

Heat bath (local loss+recovery w.r.t. σ_Λ)

Glauber dynamics

✓

Question: How do equilibrium properties of the system influence its thermalization?

Thermalization times in classical lattice systems



Thermalization times in quantum lattice systems



- Non-interacting case
- Graph states [Kastoryano, Reeb & Wolf 2012]
- Heat bath stab. Hamiltonian at high T [Kastoryano & Temme 2015]

[Kastoryano & Brandao 2016] (commuting Hamiltonians)

Equilibrium

Out-of-equilibrium

Main result

Theorem (informal): Given the Gibbs state σ_Λ of a local commuting Hamiltonian H_Λ, there exists a local quantum Markov semigroup converging to σ_Λ exponentially fast in relative entropy distance if

(i) H_Λ is classical for $\beta < \beta_c$.

(ii) H_Λ is a nearest neighbour Hamiltonian, for $\beta < \beta_c$.

$$\forall \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda),\ D(\rho_t \| \sigma_\Lambda) \leq e^{-\alpha\, t}\, D(\rho \| \sigma_\Lambda)$$

$\Rightarrow$ First unconditional proof of decay in relative entropy for quantum lattice systems at high T.

Modified logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$(e^{t\mathcal{L}})_{t\geq 0}$: semigroup of quantum channels on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = 0$

Assume detailed balance $\Rightarrow \ e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) \to E(\rho), t \to \infty , \quad E : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{L})$

Entropic convergence: $\forall \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}),\ D(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) \| E(\rho)) \leq e^{-\alpha\, t}\, D(\rho \| E(\rho))$

$$\Updownarrow \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$$

$$\alpha D(\rho\|E(\rho)) \leq \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}}(\rho) := -\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} D(e^{t\mathcal{L}}(\rho)\|E(\rho)) \equiv -\mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{L}(\rho)(\ln(\rho) - \ln(\sigma))]$$

Relative entropy distance to $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{L})$

Entropy production linear in $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_B} = \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_A} + \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_B}$$

$\alpha_1(\mathcal{L})$: MLSI constant

Non-interacting lattice systems (i.i.d. case)

Tensorization property (classical systems):

$$\alpha_1(\mathcal{L}_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_B + \mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mathcal{L}_B) = \min\{\alpha_1(\mathcal{L}_A), \alpha_1(\mathcal{L}_B)\}$$

Not known for quantum systems. For tensor products of depolarizing channels [Beigi, Datta & CR 2018]

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}_{\sigma_j}} = e^{-t}\rho + (1-e^{-t})\sigma_j \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \alpha_1\Big(\sum_j \mathcal{L}_j \otimes \mathrm{id}_{j^c}\Big) \geq 1$$

… Consequence of SSA!

Complete MLSI constant [Junge, Gao & Laracuente 2019] $\alpha_{1,c}(\mathcal{L}) := \inf_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_1(\mathcal{L}\otimes \mathrm{id}_j)$

[Junge, Laracuente & CR 2019] For classical evolutions over quantum systems: $\alpha_{1,c}(\mathcal{L}) > 0$

In this talk, we assume $\alpha_{1,c}(\mathcal{L}) > 0$ for all finite dimensional generators.

Approaching non i.i.d. case via entropy inequalities

Tensorization from **<u>Strong subadditivity of entropy</u>** [Lieb & Ruskai 1973]:

$$D(\rho\|\rho_C \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}_{AB}}{d_{AB}}) \leq D(\rho\|\rho_{AC} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}_B}{d_B}) + D(\rho\|\rho_{BC} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}_A}{d_A})$$

ρ_{ABC} $\xrightarrow{e^{t\mathcal{L}_{\text{depol}}}}$ $\frac{\mathbb{I}_A}{d_A} \otimes \rho_{BC}$

Defining by $E_C := \lim_{t\to\infty} e^{t\mathcal{L}_C}$ the infinite time limit of the evolution restricted to region C,

$$E_A \circ E_B = E_B \circ E_A = E_{A\cup B}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad D(\rho\|E_{A\cup B}(\rho)) \leq D(\rho\|E_A(\rho)) + D(\rho\|E_B(\rho))$$



For **classical systems**, the above commutation relation corresponds to $T = \infty$.

$T < \infty$ [Cesi 2000] [Bardet Capel CR 2020] $D(\rho\|E_{A\cup B}(\rho)) \leq c\big[D(\rho\|E_A(\rho)) + D(\rho\|E_B(\rho))\big] + q$

c related to correlations in the Gibbs state, q related to entanglement between $A \cup B$ and $(A \cup B)^c$, and coherences in boundary for ρ.

<u>Strong approximate tensorization of relative entropy:</u> $q = 0, c \sim 1 + \kappa\, e^{-\ell} \Rightarrow \alpha_1(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda) > 0$

Removing quantum properties: peeling out

Intuition: $\tau_{\text{decoherence}}, \tau_{\text{entanglement}} << \tau_{\text{thermalization}}$

Example: σ_Λ classical state, $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ classical Glauber dynamics, 1D nearest neighbour interactions:



$$E_A(\rho) = \sum_{\omega_{\partial A}} \mathrm{Tr}[\langle \omega_{\partial A} | \rho | \omega_{\partial A} \rangle] \, |\omega_{\partial A}\rangle\langle \omega_{\partial A}| \otimes \sigma_A^{\omega_{\partial A}}$$

Classical state

$$D(\rho \| \sigma_\Lambda) = D(\rho \| E_A(\rho)) + D(E_A(\rho) \| \sigma_\Lambda) \qquad \text{(Chain rule)}$$

Pinched MLSI

Cf: 1D chain, nearest neighbour interactions



Definition (Pinched MLSI):

$$\gamma_C \, D(E_A(\rho) \| E_C \circ E_A(\rho)) \leq \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_C}(\rho)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho \| E_\Lambda(\rho)) &= D(\rho \| E_A(\rho)) + D(E_A(\rho) \| E_\Lambda(\rho)) && \text{(Chain rule)} \\ &\leq \alpha_c(\mathcal{L}_{A^*})^{-1} \, \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_A}(\rho) + {\gamma_\Lambda}^{-1} \, \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_\Lambda}(\rho) && \text{(CMLSI + Pinched MLSI)} \\ &\leq \left(\alpha_c(\mathcal{L}_{A^*})^{-1} + {\gamma_\Lambda}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_\Lambda}(\rho) && \text{(linearity of EP)} \end{aligned}$$

Question: Is γ_Λ independent of the lattice size?

Controlling the Pinched MLSI constant via decay of correlations

Pinched MLSI: $\gamma_C\, D(\rho_A \| E_C(\rho_A)) \leq \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_C}(\rho)\,, \qquad \rho_A := E_A(\rho)$

[Dobrushin & Schlosman 1987] Gibbs measures satisfy a **decay of correlations** in 1D or below β_c

$$\|E_C^{\omega_{\partial C\cup D}} \circ E_D^{\omega_{\partial C\cup D}} - E_{C\cup D}^{\omega_{\partial C\cup D}} \,:\, L^1(\sigma_{C\cup D}^{\omega_{\partial C\cup D}}) \to L^\infty\| \,\leq\, c\,|C\cup D|\, e^{-\,\mathrm{dist}(C^c,D^c)/\xi}$$

[Cesi 2001] For all classical state p, for $\mathrm{dist}(C^c, D^c) \equiv \ell$ large enough

$$D(p\|E_{C\cup D}(p)) \leq (1+e^{-\kappa\ell})\left[D(p\|E_C(p)) + D(p\|E_D(p))\right] (\star)$$

Since ρ_A is classical, we can iterate $(\star)$:

$$D(\rho_A\|E_\Lambda(\rho_A)) \leq (1+K)\sum_{j=1}^{2^N} D(\rho_A\|E_{C_j^{(N)}}(\rho_A))$$

$C_j^{(i)} =$ 

Bounding the Pinched MLSI constant

Pinched MLSI: $$\gamma_C\, D(\rho_A \| E_C(\rho_A)) \leq \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_C}(\rho)\,, \qquad \rho_A := E_A(\rho)$$

We proved: $$D(\rho_A \| E_\Lambda(\rho_A)) \leq (1+K) \sum_{j=1}^{2^N} D(\rho_A \| E_{C_j^{(N)}}(\rho_A))$$

$$\leq \gamma_C^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^N} \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_{C_j^{(N)}}}(\rho)$$

$$\leq 2\,\gamma_C^{-1}\ \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_\Lambda}(\rho)$$

$$\leq 2\,\alpha_c(\mathcal{L}_C)^{-1}\ \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_\Lambda}(\rho)$$

Proof of $\gamma_C \geq \alpha_c(\mathcal{L}_C)$: Since $[E_C, E_A] = 0\,,$

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho_A \| E_C(\rho_A)) &= D(E_A(\rho) \| E_A \circ E_C(\rho)) \\ &\leq D(\rho \| E_C(\rho)) \\ &\leq \alpha_c(\mathcal{L}_C)^{-1}\ \mathrm{EP}_{\mathcal{L}_C}(\rho) \end{aligned}$$



Nearest neighbour, commuting Hamiltonians



[Bravyi & Vyalyi 2005]

$$e^{-\beta H_\Lambda} = \prod_{j\in\Lambda} e^{-\beta h_{i,i+1}}$$, where $$e^{-\beta h_{i,i+1}} = \sum_\ell X^\ell_{i,R} \otimes X^\ell_{i+1,L}$$ (Schmidt decomposition)

$$\mathcal{A}_{[a,b]} := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\leq a-2}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{a-1,L} \otimes I_{[a,b]} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{b+1,R} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\geq b+2})\,, \quad E^*_{[a,b]} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda) \to \mathcal{A}_{[a,b]}$$

- Refinement of [Harrow, Mehraban, Soleimanifar 2019] **conditional decay of correlations.**
- Refinement of [Bardet, Capel, R 2020] **approximate tensorization of RE:**

$$\forall \rho = E_A(\rho),\ D(\rho\|E_{C\cup D}(\rho)) \leq (1+\mathcal{O}(e^{-d(C^c,D^c)}))\left(D(\rho\|E_C(\rho)) + D(\rho\|E_D(\rho))\right)$$

- The argument for Glauber dynamics extends for the generator

$$\mathcal{L}_\Lambda = \sum_{j\in\Lambda} E_j - \mathrm{id}$$

Discussion

Our results:

- First unconditional proof of MLSI on quantum systems for classical dynamics or NN commuting Hamiltonians

- Conditional versions of decay of correlations for commuting Gibbs states

- Generalizations of SSA: approximate tensorization of the relative entropy for certain classes of states

Open problem:

- Extending the result to k-local commuting Hamiltonians?

Thank you for your attention!