

# Superadditivity of Quantum Relative Entropy for General States

Ángela Capel

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas

angela.capel@icmat.es

Angelo Lucia

University of Copenhagen

angelo@math.ku.dk

David Pérez-García

Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas

dperezga@ucm.es

## Objective

The property of superadditivity of the quantum relative entropy states that, in a bipartite system  $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ , for every density operator  $\rho_{AB}$  one has  $D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B) \geq D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B)$ . In this work, we provide an extension of this inequality for arbitrary density operators  $\sigma_{AB}$ .

## Introduction

- In a bipartite system  $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ , the **quantum relative entropy** of two states  $\rho_{AB}$  and  $\sigma_{AB}$  is given by:

$$D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) = \text{tr}[\rho_{AB}(\log \rho_{AB} - \log \sigma_{AB})]$$

if  $\text{supp}(\rho_{AB}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma_{AB})$  and  $+\infty$  otherwise.

- The property of **superadditivity** states that

$$D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B) \geq D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B).$$

- As a consequence of **monotonicity**, the following holds for all states  $\rho_{AB}$  and  $\sigma_{AB}$ :

$$2D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) \geq D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B).$$

## Main result

### Theorem

For any bipartite states  $\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB}$ :

$$(1 + 2\|h\|_\infty) D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) \geq D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B),$$

where

$$h = \mathcal{T}_{\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B}(\sigma_{AB}) - \mathbb{1}_{AB},$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B}(\sigma_{AB}) = \int_0^\infty dt (\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B + t\mathbb{1})^{-1} \sigma_{AB} (\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B + t\mathbb{1})^{-1}.$$

Note that  $h = 0$  if  $\sigma_{AB} = \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B$ .

## Step 1 of the proof

### Step 1

For density matrices  $\rho_{AB}, \sigma_{AB} \in \mathcal{S}_{AB}$ , it holds that

$$D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) \geq D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B) - \log \text{tr} M, \quad (1)$$

where  $M = \exp[\log \sigma_{AB} - \log \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B + \log \rho_A \otimes \rho_B]$  and equality holds (both sides being equal to zero) if  $\rho_{AB} = \sigma_{AB}$ .

Moreover, if  $\sigma_{AB} = \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B$ , then  $\log \text{tr} M = 0$ .

It holds that:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) - [D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B)] &= \\ &= D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) - D(\rho_A \otimes \rho_B||\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B) \\ &= \text{tr} \left[ \rho_{AB} \left( \log \rho_{AB} - \underbrace{(\log \sigma_{AB} - \log \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B + \log \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)}_{\log M} \right) \right] \\ &= D(\rho_{AB}||M). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma:** For  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^+$ , the following holds:

$$D(f||g) \geq -\log \frac{\text{tr}[g]}{\text{tr}[f]}.$$

$$D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) - [D(\rho_A||\sigma_A) + D(\rho_B||\sigma_B)] \geq -\log \text{tr} M.$$

## Acknowledgments



## Step 2 of the proof

### Step 2

With the same notation of Step 1, we have that

$$\log \text{tr} M \leq \text{tr}(h \rho_A \otimes \rho_B), \quad (2)$$

where

$$h = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_A^{-1} \otimes \sigma_B^{-1} \sigma_{AB} + \sigma_{AB} \sigma_A^{-1} \otimes \sigma_B^{-1}) - \mathbb{1}_{AB}.$$

**Theorem (Lieb):** Let  $g$  a positive operator, and define

$$\mathcal{T}_g(f) = \int_0^\infty dt (g + t)^{-1} f (g + t)^{-1}.$$

$\mathcal{T}_g$  is positive-semidefinite if  $g$  is. We have that

$$\text{tr}[\exp(-f + g + h)] \leq \text{tr}[e^h \mathcal{T}_e(f)].$$

We apply Lieb's theorem to equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} M &= \text{tr} \left[ \exp \left( \underbrace{\log \sigma_{AB}}_g - \underbrace{\log \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B}_f + \underbrace{\log \rho_A \otimes \rho_B}_h \right) \right] \\ &\leq \text{tr}[\rho_A \otimes \rho_B \mathcal{T}_{\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B}(\sigma_{AB})] \\ &= \text{tr} \left[ \rho_A \otimes \rho_B \underbrace{(\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B}(\sigma_{AB}) - \mathbb{1}_{AB})}_h \right] + \underbrace{\text{tr}[\rho_A \otimes \rho_B]}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using the fact  $\log(x) \leq x - 1$ , we conclude

$$\log \text{tr} M \leq \text{tr} M - 1 \leq \text{tr}[h \rho_A \otimes \rho_B].$$

## Step 3 of the proof

### Step 3

With the same notation of the previous steps, the following holds:

$$\text{tr}[h \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] \leq 2\|h\|_\infty D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}).$$

**Lemma (Sutter et al.):** For  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{AB}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}_{AB}$  the following holds:

$$\mathcal{T}_g(f) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt \beta_0(t) g^{\frac{-1-it}{2}} f g^{\frac{-1+it}{2}},$$

with

$$\beta_0(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\cosh(\pi t) + 1)^{-1}.$$

**Lemma:** For every operator  $O_A \in \mathcal{B}_A$  and  $O_B \in \mathcal{B}_B$  the following holds:

$$\text{tr}[h \sigma_A \otimes O_B] = \text{tr}[h O_A \otimes \sigma_B] = 0.$$

This lemma implies that:

$$\text{tr}[h \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] = \text{tr}[h (\rho_A - \sigma_A) \otimes (\rho_B - \sigma_B)].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[h (\rho_A - \sigma_A) \otimes (\rho_B - \sigma_B)] &\leq \|h\|_\infty \|(\rho_A - \sigma_A) \otimes (\rho_B - \sigma_B)\|_1 \\ &= \|h\|_\infty \|\rho_A - \sigma_A\|_1 \|\rho_B - \sigma_B\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem ( Pinsker):** For  $\rho_{AB}$  and  $\sigma_{AB}$  density matrices, it holds that

$$\|\rho_{AB} - \sigma_{AB}\|_1^2 \leq 2D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}).$$

Using Pinsker's theorem and the data-processing inequality, we can conclude:

$$\text{tr}[h \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] \leq 2\|h\|_\infty D(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}).$$

## References

- A. Capel, A. Lucia and D. Pérez-García, "Superadditivity of Quantum Relative Entropy for General States", arxiv:1705.03521
- E.H. Lieb, "Convex trace functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson conjecture", *Adv. Math.* 11(3) (1973), 267-288, doi:10.1016/0001-8708(73)90011-X.
- M.S. Pinsker, *Information and Information Stability of Random Variables and Processes*, Holden Day (1964).
- D. Sutter, M. Berta and M. Tomamichel, "Multivariate Trace Inequalities", *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 352(1) (2017), 37-58 doi:10.1007/s00220-016-2778-5.