

A strengthened data processing inequality for the Belavkin-Staszewski relative entropy

Ángela Capel (ICMAT-UAM, Madrid)

Joint work with Andreas Bluhm (T. U. München)

Based on arXiv: 1904.10768

**ICMAT, Thematic Research Program: "Operator Algebras,
Groups and Applications to Quantum Information",
Workshop II, 13th May 2019**

1 MOTIVATION

2 STANDARD AND MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

3 MAIN RESULTS

- EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI
- STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY
- STRENGTHENED DPI FOR MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

NOTATION

- \mathcal{H} finite-dimensional Hilbert space.
- $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ algebra of bounded linear operators on it.
- $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \rho \geq 0, \text{tr}[\rho] = 1\}$ density matrices.
 - Assume full rank.
- \mathcal{M} matrix algebra and $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ matrix subalgebra.
- $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ quantum channel.
- $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ conditional expectation.
 - $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho)$.
- $\Gamma := \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} := \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2}$.
- **Note:** Identify L_A , the left multiplication operator by A on \mathcal{M} , with A for $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

MAIN CONCEPTS

RELATIVE ENTROPY

Given $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} , their **relative entropy** is defined as:

$$D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)].$$

BELAVKIN-STASZEWSKI RELATIVE ENTROPY

Given $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} , their **BS-entropy** is defined as:

$$D_{\text{BS}}(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr} \left[\sigma \log \left(\sigma^{1/2} \rho^{-1} \sigma^{1/2} \right) \right].$$

MAIN CONCEPTS

RELATIVE ENTROPY

Given $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} , their **relative entropy** is defined as:

$$D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)].$$

BELAVKIN-STASZEWSKI RELATIVE ENTROPY

Given $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} , their **BS-entropy** is defined as:

$$D_{\text{BS}}(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr} \left[\sigma \log \left(\sigma^{1/2} \rho^{-1} \sigma^{1/2} \right) \right].$$

RELATION BETWEEN RELATIVE ENTROPIES

The following holds for every $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$:

$$D_{\text{BS}}(\sigma||\rho) \geq D(\sigma||\rho).$$

MAIN CONCEPTS

RELATIVE ENTROPY

Given $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} , their **relative entropy** is defined as:

$$D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)].$$

BELAVKIN-STASZEWSKI RELATIVE ENTROPY

Given $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} , their **BS-entropy** is defined as:

$$D_{\text{BS}}(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr} \left[\sigma \log \left(\sigma^{1/2} \rho^{-1} \sigma^{1/2} \right) \right].$$

RELATION BETWEEN RELATIVE ENTROPIES

The following holds for every $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$:

$$D_{\text{BS}}(\sigma||\rho) \geq D(\sigma||\rho).$$

MOTIVATION: RELATIVE ENTROPY

Relative entropy of σ and ρ : $D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$.

Quantum channel: $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ CPTP map.

- $\sigma > 0 \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\sigma) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Id}_n : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is positive for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\text{tr}[\mathcal{T}(\sigma)] = \text{tr}[\sigma]$.

MOTIVATION: RELATIVE ENTROPY

Relative entropy of σ and ρ : $D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$.

Quantum channel: $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ CPTP map.

- $\sigma > 0 \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\sigma) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Id}_n : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is positive for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\text{tr}[\mathcal{T}(\sigma)] = \text{tr}[\sigma]$.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

$$D(\sigma||\rho) \geq D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)).$$

MOTIVATION: RELATIVE ENTROPY

Relative entropy of σ and ρ : $D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$.

Quantum channel: $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ CPTP map.

- $\sigma > 0 \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\sigma) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Id}_n : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is positive for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\text{tr}[\mathcal{T}(\sigma)] = \text{tr}[\sigma]$.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

$$D(\sigma||\rho) \geq D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)).$$

CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY

$$D(\sigma||\rho) = D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \Leftrightarrow \sigma = \rho^{1/2} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} \right) \rho^{1/2}.$$

Petz recovery map $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho}(\cdot) := \rho^{1/2} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} (\cdot) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} \right) \rho^{1/2}$.

MOTIVATION: RELATIVE ENTROPY

Relative entropy of σ and ρ : $D(\sigma||\rho) := \text{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$.

Quantum channel: $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ CPTP map.

- $\sigma > 0 \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\sigma) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{T} \otimes \text{Id}_n : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is positive for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\text{tr}[\mathcal{T}(\sigma)] = \text{tr}[\sigma]$.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

$$D(\sigma||\rho) \geq D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)).$$

CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY

$$D(\sigma||\rho) = D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \Leftrightarrow \sigma = \rho^{1/2} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} \right) \rho^{1/2}.$$

Petz recovery map $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho}(\cdot) := \rho^{1/2} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} (\cdot) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1/2} \right) \rho^{1/2}$.

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Operational meaning of $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho))$

- **Thermodynamics:** Cost of a certain quantum process.
- **Partial trace:** Conditional relative entropy.

DPI for relative entropy: $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq 0$.

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Operational meaning of $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho))$

- **Thermodynamics:** Cost of a certain quantum process.
- **Partial trace:** Conditional relative entropy.

DPI for relative entropy: $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq 0$.

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$?

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Operational meaning of $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho))$

- **Thermodynamics:** Cost of a certain quantum process.
- **Partial trace:** Conditional relative entropy.

DPI for relative entropy: $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq 0$.

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$?

(Fawzi-Renner '15) $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$, $\sigma_{ABC} > 0$ and $\rho_{ABC} = I_A \otimes \sigma_{BC}$, $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \text{tr}_C[\cdot]$.

CMI: $I(A : C|B)_{\sigma} = D(\sigma_{ABC}||\rho_{ABC}) - D(\sigma_{BC}||\rho_{BC})$.

$$I(A : C|B)_{\sigma} \geq \inf_{\eta_{ABC}} (-2 \log_2 F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC})),$$

where

$$F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC}) = \|\sqrt{\sigma_{ABC}}\sqrt{\eta_{ABC}}\|_1$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Operational meaning of $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho))$

- **Thermodynamics:** Cost of a certain quantum process.
- **Partial trace:** Conditional relative entropy.

DPI for relative entropy: $D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq 0$.

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$?

(Fawzi-Renner '15) $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$, $\sigma_{ABC} > 0$ and $\rho_{ABC} = I_A \otimes \sigma_{BC}$, $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \text{tr}_C[\cdot]$.

CMI: $I(A : C|B)_{\sigma} = D(\sigma_{ABC}||\rho_{ABC}) - D(\sigma_{BC}||\rho_{BC})$.

$$I(A : C|B)_{\sigma} \geq \inf_{\eta_{ABC}} (-2 \log_2 F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC})),$$

where

$$F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC}) = \|\sqrt{\sigma_{ABC}}\sqrt{\eta_{ABC}}\|_1$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

(Fawzi-Renner '15) $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$, $\sigma_{ABC} > 0$ and $\rho_{ABC} = I_A \otimes \sigma_{BC}$, $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \text{tr}_C[\cdot]$.

$$\text{CMI: } I(A : C|B)_\sigma = D(\sigma_{ABC} || \rho_{ABC}) - D(\sigma_{BC} || \rho_{BC}).$$

$$I(A : C|B)_\sigma \geq \inf_{\eta_{ABC}} (-2 \log_2 F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC})),$$

where

$$F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC}) = \|\sqrt{\sigma_{ABC}}\sqrt{\eta_{ABC}}\|_1$$

More specifically, if we consider $\mathcal{V}_{BC} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \mathcal{U}_B$, with U_B and V_{BC} unitaries on \mathcal{H}_B , \mathcal{H}_{BC} respectively,

$$\mathcal{V}_{BC} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \mathcal{U}_B(\sigma_{AB}) = V_{BC} \sigma_{BC}^{1/2} \sigma_B^{-1/2} U_B \sigma_{AB} U_B^* \sigma_B^{-1/2} \sigma_{BC}^{1/2} V_{BC}^*,$$

we have

$$I(A : C|B)_\sigma \geq -2 \log_2 F(\sigma_{ABC}, \mathcal{V}_{BC} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \mathcal{U}_B(\sigma_{AB})).$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

(Fawzi-Renner '15) $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$, $\sigma_{ABC} > 0$ and $\rho_{ABC} = I_A \otimes \sigma_{BC}$, $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \text{tr}_C[\cdot]$.

$$\text{CMI: } I(A : C|B)_\sigma = D(\sigma_{ABC} || \rho_{ABC}) - D(\sigma_{BC} || \rho_{BC}).$$

$$I(A : C|B)_\sigma \geq \inf_{\eta_{ABC}} (-2 \log_2 F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC})),$$

where

$$F(\sigma_{ABC}, \eta_{ABC}) = \|\sqrt{\sigma_{ABC}} \sqrt{\eta_{ABC}}\|_1$$

More specifically, if we consider $\mathcal{V}_{BC} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \mathcal{U}_B$, with U_B and V_{BC} unitaries on \mathcal{H}_B , \mathcal{H}_{BC} respectively,

$$\mathcal{V}_{BC} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \mathcal{U}_B(\sigma_{AB}) = V_{BC} \sigma_{BC}^{1/2} \sigma_B^{-1/2} U_B \sigma_{AB} U_B^* \sigma_B^{-1/2} \sigma_{BC}^{1/2} V_{BC}^*,$$

we have

$$I(A : C|B)_\sigma \geq -2 \log_2 F(\sigma_{ABC}, \mathcal{V}_{BC} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \mathcal{U}_B(\sigma_{AB})).$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Extensions and improvements of the previous result:

$D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq (1), (2), (3)$, where:

$$(1) := - \int \beta_0(t) \log F \left(\sigma, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right) dt \text{ (Junge et al. '15),}$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho, [t]}(\cdot) = \rho^{\frac{1+it}{2}} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1-it}{2}} (\cdot) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1+it}{2}} \right) \rho^{\frac{1-it}{2}}$$

and

$$\beta_0(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\cosh(\pi t) + 1)^{-1}.$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Extensions and improvements of the previous result:

$D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq (1), (2), (3)$, where:

$$(1) := - \int \beta_0(t) \log F \left(\sigma, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right) dt \text{ (Junge et al. '15),}$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho, [t]}(\cdot) = \rho^{\frac{1+it}{2}} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1-it}{2}} (\cdot) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1+it}{2}} \right) \rho^{\frac{1-it}{2}}$$

and

$$\beta_0(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\cosh(\pi t) + 1)^{-1}.$$

$$(2) := D_M \left(\sigma \left\| \int \beta_0(t) \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\sigma, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right. \right) dt \text{ (Sutter-Berta-Tomamichel '16),}$$

with

$$D_M(\sigma||\rho) = \sup_{(\xi, M)} D(P_{\sigma, M}||P_{\rho, M}), \text{ for } M \text{ a POVM on the power-set of a finite } \xi.$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Extensions and improvements of the previous result:

$D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq (1), (2), (3)$, where:

$$(1) := - \int \beta_0(t) \log F \left(\sigma, \mathcal{R}_T^{\rho, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right) dt \text{ (Junge et al. '15),}$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_T^{\rho, [t]}(\cdot) = \rho^{\frac{1+it}{2}} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1-it}{2}} (\cdot) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1+it}{2}} \right) \rho^{\frac{1-it}{2}}$$

and

$$\beta_0(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\cosh(\pi t) + 1)^{-1}.$$

$$(2) := D_M \left(\sigma \left\| \int \beta_0(t) \mathcal{R}_T^{\sigma, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right. \right) dt \text{ (Sutter-Berta-Tomamichel '16),}$$

with

$D_M(\sigma||\rho) = \sup_{(\xi, M)} D(P_{\sigma, M}||P_{\rho, M})$, for M a POVM on the power-set of a finite ξ .

$$(3) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D \left(\sigma^{\otimes n} \left\| \int \beta_0(t) \left(\mathcal{R}_T^{\sigma, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right)^{\otimes n} \right. \right) dt \text{ (Berta et al. '17),}$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

Extensions and improvements of the previous result:

$D(\sigma||\rho) - D(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)||\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \geq (1), (2), (3)$, where:

$$(1) := - \int \beta_0(t) \log F \left(\sigma, \mathcal{R}_T^{\rho, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right) dt \quad (\text{Junge et al. '15}),$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_T^{\rho, [t]}(\cdot) = \rho^{\frac{1+it}{2}} \mathcal{T}^* \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1-it}{2}} (\cdot) \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\frac{-1+it}{2}} \right) \rho^{\frac{1-it}{2}}$$

and

$$\beta_0(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\cosh(\pi t) + 1)^{-1}.$$

$$(2) := D_M \left(\sigma \left\| \int \beta_0(t) \mathcal{R}_T^{\sigma, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right. \right) dt \quad (\text{Sutter-Berta-Tomamichel '16}),$$

with

$D_M(\sigma||\rho) = \sup_{(\xi, M)} D(P_{\sigma, M}||P_{\rho, M})$, for M a POVM on the power-set of a finite ξ .

$$(3) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} D \left(\sigma^{\otimes n} \left\| \int \beta_0(t) \left(\mathcal{R}_T^{\sigma, [t]} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \right)^{\otimes n} \right. \right) dt \quad (\text{Berta et al. '17}),$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $D(\sigma || \mathcal{R}_T^p \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma))$?

Answer: It is not possible (Brandao et al. '15, Fawzi² '17).

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $D(\sigma || \mathcal{R}_T^p \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma))$?

Answer: It is not possible (**Brandao et al. '15, Fawzi² '17**).

(**Sutter-Renner '18**) $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$, $\sigma_{ABC} > 0$ and $\rho_{ABC} = I_A \otimes \sigma_{BC}$, $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \text{tr}_C[\cdot]$.

$$D(\sigma_{ABC} || \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \text{tr}_C[(\sigma_{ABC})]) + \Lambda_{\max}(\sigma_{AB} || \mathcal{R}_{B \rightarrow B}) \geq I(A : C | B)_\sigma,$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\max}(\sigma || \mathcal{E}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \sigma,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{B \rightarrow B} := \text{tr}_C \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}}.$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $D(\sigma || \mathcal{R}_T^\rho \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma))$?

Answer: It is not possible (**Brandao et al. '15, Fawzi² '17**).

(Sutter-Renner '18) $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$, $\sigma_{ABC} > 0$ and $\rho_{ABC} = I_A \otimes \sigma_{BC}$, $\mathcal{T}(\cdot) = \text{tr}_C[\cdot]$.

$$D(\sigma_{ABC} || \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}} \circ \text{tr}_C[(\sigma_{ABC})]) + \Lambda_{\max}(\sigma_{AB} || \mathcal{R}_{B \rightarrow B}) \geq I(A : C | B)_\sigma,$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\max}(\sigma || \mathcal{E}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \sigma,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{B \rightarrow B} := \text{tr}_C \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{tr}_C}^{\sigma_{BC}}.$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$?

(Carlen-Vershynina '17) $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ conditional expectation,
 $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho)$:

$$D(\sigma \parallel \rho) - D(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^4 \|L_{\rho} R_{\sigma^{-1}}\|_{\infty}^{-2} \|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma}(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) - \rho\|_1^4.$$

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$?

(Carlen-Vershynina '17) $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ conditional expectation,
 $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho)$:

$$D(\sigma \parallel \rho) - D(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^4 \|L_{\rho} R_{\sigma^{-1}}\|_{\infty}^{-2} \|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma}(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) - \rho\|_1^4.$$

(Carlen-Vershynina '18) Extension to standard f -divergences.

MOTIVATION: STRENGTHENED BOUNDS FOR DPI OF RE

PROBLEM

Can we find a lower bound for the DPI in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$?

(Carlen-Vershynina '17) $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ conditional expectation,
 $\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho)$:

$$D(\sigma \parallel \rho) - D(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^4 \|L_{\rho} R_{\sigma^{-1}}\|_{\infty}^{-2} \|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma}(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) - \rho\|_1^4.$$

(Carlen-Vershynina '18) Extension to standard f -divergences.

SOME DEFINITIONS

CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

Let \mathcal{M} matrix algebra with matrix subalgebra \mathcal{N} . There exists a unique linear mapping $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that

- 1 \mathcal{E} is a positive map,
- 2 $\mathcal{E}(B) = B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{N}$,
- 3 $\mathcal{E}(AB) = \mathcal{E}(A)B$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $B \in \mathcal{N}$,
- 4 \mathcal{E} is trace preserving.

A map fulfilling (1)-(3) is called a *conditional expectation*.

OPERATOR CONVEX

Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ interval and $f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B)$$

for all Hermitian $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with spectrum contained in \mathcal{I} , all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and for all finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , then f is *operator convex*.

SOME DEFINITIONS

CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

Let \mathcal{M} matrix algebra with matrix subalgebra \mathcal{N} . There exists a unique linear mapping $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that

- 1 \mathcal{E} is a positive map,
- 2 $\mathcal{E}(B) = B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{N}$,
- 3 $\mathcal{E}(AB) = \mathcal{E}(A)B$ for all $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $B \in \mathcal{N}$,
- 4 \mathcal{E} is trace preserving.

A map fulfilling (1)-(3) is called a *conditional expectation*.

OPERATOR CONVEX

Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ interval and $f : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B)$$

for all Hermitian $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with spectrum contained in \mathcal{I} , all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and for all finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , then f is *operator convex*.

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES**(Hiai-Mosonyi '17)**STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(L_\sigma R_{\rho^{-1}}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *standard f -divergence*.

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

(Hiai-Mosonyi '17)

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$S_f(\sigma\|\rho) = \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(L_\sigma R_{\rho^{-1}}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *standard f -divergence*.

Example: Let $f(x) = x \log x$. Then,

$$S_f(\sigma\|\rho) = \operatorname{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$$

defines the relative entropy $D(\sigma\|\rho)$.

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

(Hiai-Mosonyi '17)

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(L_\sigma R_{\rho^{-1}}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *standard f -divergence*.

Example: Let $f(x) = x \log x$. Then,

$$S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$$

defines the relative entropy $D(\sigma \parallel \rho)$.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a TP map such that its dual map is a 2PTP map. Then, for every $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ on \mathcal{M} and every operator convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$S_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{T}(\rho)) \leq S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho).$$

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

(Hiai-Mosonyi '17)

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(L_\sigma R_{\rho^{-1}}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *standard f -divergence*.

Example: Let $f(x) = x \log x$. Then,

$$S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr}[\sigma(\log \sigma - \log \rho)]$$

defines the relative entropy $D(\sigma \parallel \rho)$.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a TP map such that its dual map is a 2PTP map. Then, for every $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ on \mathcal{M} and every operator convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$S_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{T}(\rho)) \leq S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho).$$

STANDARD f -DIVERGENCES

CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY

Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be on \mathcal{M} and let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 2PTP linear map. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1 There exists a TP map $\hat{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}(\rho)) = \rho$ and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)) = \sigma$.
- 2 $S_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{T}(\rho)) = S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho)$ for some operator convex function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f(0^+) < \infty$ and

$$|\text{supp } \mu_f| \geq |\text{spec}(L_\sigma R_{\rho^{-1}}) \cup \text{spec}(L_{\Phi(\sigma)} R_{\Phi(\rho)^{-1}})|,$$

for a certain μ_f from the representation of the op-convex function.

- 3 $S_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{T}(\rho)) = S_f(\sigma \parallel \rho)$ for all operator convex f on $[0, \infty)$.
- 4 $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{T}^\rho(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)) = \sigma$.

MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCESMAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(\rho^{-1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *maximal f -divergence*.

Example: Let $f(x) = x \log x$. Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2} \log \left(\rho^{-1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2} \right) \right] = \operatorname{tr} \left[\sigma \log \left(\sigma^{1/2} \rho^{-1} \sigma^{1/2} \right) \right]$$

is the *Belavkin-Staszewski relative entropy (BS-entropy)*.

MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCESMAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho) = \text{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(\rho^{-1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *maximal f -divergence*.

Example: Let $f(x) = x \log x$. Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho) = \text{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2} \log \left(\rho^{-1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2} \right) \right] = \text{tr} \left[\sigma \log \left(\sigma^{1/2} \rho^{-1} \sigma^{1/2} \right) \right]$$

is the *Belavkin-Staszewski relative entropy (BS-entropy)*.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a PTP linear map. Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)\|\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \leq \hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho).$$

MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function and $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho) = \text{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} f(\rho^{-1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2}) \rho^{1/2} \right]$$

is the *maximal f -divergence*.

Example: Let $f(x) = x \log x$. Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho) = \text{tr} \left[\rho^{1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2} \log \left(\rho^{-1/2} \sigma \rho^{-1/2} \right) \right] = \text{tr} \left[\sigma \log \left(\sigma^{1/2} \rho^{-1} \sigma^{1/2} \right) \right]$$

is the *Belavkin-Staszewski relative entropy (BS-entropy)*.

DATA PROCESSING INEQUALITY

Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two states on a matrix algebra \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a PTP linear map. Then,

$$\hat{S}_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma)\|\mathcal{T}(\rho)) \leq \hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho).$$

MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY

Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be on \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a PTP linear map. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1 $\hat{S}_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{T}(\rho)) = \hat{S}_f(\sigma \parallel \rho)$ for some non-linear operator convex function f on $[0, \infty)$.
- 2 $\hat{S}_f(\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \parallel \mathcal{T}(\rho)) = \hat{S}_f(\sigma \parallel \rho)$ for all operator convex functions f on $[0, \infty)$.
- 3 $\text{tr}[\mathcal{T}(\sigma)^2 \mathcal{T}(\rho)^{-1}] = \text{tr}[\sigma^2 \rho^{-1}]$.

RELATION BETWEEN f -DIVERGENCESRELATION BETWEEN f -DIVERGENCES

For every two states $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ on \mathcal{M} and every operator convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$S_f(\sigma\|\rho) \leq \hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho).$$

REMARK: DIFFERENCE

For maximal f -divergences, there is no equivalent condition for equality in DPI which provides an explicit expression of recovery for σ .

RELATION BETWEEN f -DIVERGENCESRELATION BETWEEN f -DIVERGENCES

For every two states $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ on \mathcal{M} and every operator convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$S_f(\sigma\|\rho) \leq \hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho).$$

REMARK: DIFFERENCE

For maximal f -divergences, there is no equivalent condition for equality in DPI which provides an explicit expression of recovery for σ .

QUESTIONS

BS RECOVERY CONDITION

Can we prove an equivalent condition for equality in DPI for the BS entropy (or for maximal f -divergences) which provides an explicit expression of recovery for σ ?

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR BS ENTROPY

Following Carlen-Vershynina, can we provide a lower bound for the DPI for the BS entropy (or for maximal f -divergences) in terms of a (hypothetical) BS recovery condition?

QUESTIONS

BS RECOVERY CONDITION

Can we prove an equivalent condition for equality in DPI for the BS entropy (or for maximal f -divergences) which provides an explicit expression of recovery for σ ?

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR BS ENTROPY

Following Carlen-Vershynina, can we provide a lower bound for the DPI for the BS entropy (or for maximal f -divergences) in terms of a (hypothetical) BS recovery condition?

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI

$$\Gamma := \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2} \text{ and } \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} := \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2}$$

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho), \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$$

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two quantum states on \mathcal{M} . Then, the following are equivalent:

- ❶ $\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}})$.
- ❷ $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} \rho^{-1} = \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma^{-1}$.
- ❸ $\sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2}$.

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI

$$\Gamma := \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2} \text{ and } \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} := \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2}$$
$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho), \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$$

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two quantum states on \mathcal{M} . Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1 $\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}})$.
- 2 $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} \rho^{-1} = \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma^{-1}$.
- 3 $\sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2}$.

BS RECOVERY CONDITION

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma}(\cdot) := \sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\cdot).$$

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI

$$\Gamma := \sigma^{-1/2} \rho \sigma^{-1/2} \text{ and } \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} := \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \rho_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2}$$

$$\rho_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\rho), \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$$

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR EQUALITY ON DPI (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ be two quantum states on \mathcal{M} . Then, the following are equivalent:

- ❶ $\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}})$.
- ❷ $\rho_{\mathcal{N}} \rho^{-1} = \sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \sigma^{-1}$.
- ❸ $\sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} = \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2}$.

BS RECOVERY CONDITION

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma}(\cdot) := \sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\cdot).$$

CONSEQUENCES

Note: Although they can be seen as a consequence of the previous result, the following facts were previously known.

COROLLARY

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma\|\rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) &\Leftrightarrow \rho = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sigma = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\rho\|\sigma) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}).\end{aligned}$$

CONSEQUENCES

Note: Although they can be seen as a consequence of the previous result, the following facts were previously known.

COROLLARY

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma\|\rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) &\Leftrightarrow \rho = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sigma = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\rho\|\sigma) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}).\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY

$$D(\sigma\|\rho) = D(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \implies \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma\|\rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}).$$

Equivalently,

$$\sigma = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \implies \sigma = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma).$$

The converse of this result is false (**Jencová-Petz-Pitrik '09, Hiai-Mosonyi '17**).

CONSEQUENCES

Note: Although they can be seen as a consequence of the previous result, the following facts were previously known.

COROLLARY

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma\|\rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) &\Leftrightarrow \rho = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\sigma} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sigma = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\rho\|\sigma) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}).\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY

$$D(\sigma\|\rho) = D(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \implies \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma\|\rho) = \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}).$$

Equivalently,

$$\sigma = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \implies \sigma = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\rho} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma).$$

The converse of this result is false (**Jencová-Petz-Pitrik '09, Hiai-Mosonyi '17**).

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ be two quantum states onto \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) - \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^4 \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-4} \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-2} \|\rho - \sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1} \rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|_2^4.$$

STEP 1

$$\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) - \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^4 \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-2} \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} - \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2} \right\|_2^4.$$

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ be two quantum states onto \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) - \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^4 \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-4} \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-2} \|\rho - \sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1} \rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|_2^4.$$

STEP 1

$$\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) - \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^4 \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-2} \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} - \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2} \right\|_2^4.$$

STEP 2

$$\left\| \sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} - \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2} \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-1/2} \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-1/2} \|\sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1} \rho_{\mathcal{N}} - \rho\|_2.$$

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR THE BS-ENTROPY (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ be two quantum states onto \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) - \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^4 \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-4} \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-2} \|\rho - \sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1} \rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|_2^4.$$

STEP 1

$$\hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma \parallel \rho) - \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}} \parallel \rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^4 \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-2} \left\| \sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} - \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2} \right\|_2^4.$$

STEP 2

$$\left\| \sigma^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} - \Gamma^{1/2} \sigma^{1/2} \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-1/2} \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-1/2} \|\sigma \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1} \rho_{\mathcal{N}} - \rho\|_2.$$

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES

STRENGTHENED DPI FOR MAXIMAL f -DIVERGENCES (Bluhm-C. '19)

Let \mathcal{M} be a matrix algebra with unital subalgebra \mathcal{N} . Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto this subalgebra. Let $\sigma > 0, \rho > 0$ be two quantum states on \mathcal{M} and let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function with transpose \tilde{f} . We assume that \tilde{f} is operator monotone decreasing and such that the measure $\mu_{-\tilde{f}}$ that appears in the representation of $-\tilde{f}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Moreover, we assume that for every $T \geq 1$, there exist constants $\alpha \geq 0, C > 0$ satisfying $d\mu_{-\tilde{f}}(t)/dt \geq (CT^{2\alpha})^{-1}$ for all $t \in [1/T, T]$ and such that

$$\left(\frac{(2\alpha + 1)\sqrt{C} (\hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho) - \hat{S}_f(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}))^{1/2}}{4 (1 + \|\Gamma\|_{\infty})} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} \leq 1.$$

Then, there is a constant $L_{\alpha} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{S}_f(\sigma\|\rho) - \hat{S}_f(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{N}}) \geq \\ & \geq \frac{L_{\alpha}}{C} (1 + \|\Gamma\|_{\infty})^{-(4\alpha+2)} \|\Gamma\|_{\infty}^{-(2\alpha+2)} \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\infty}^{-(2\alpha+2)} \|\rho - \sigma\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}\rho_{\mathcal{N}}\|_2^{4(\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

